

The Anarchist Library  
Anti-Copyright



## Barbaric contribution

*Anonymous*

*Anonymous*  
Barbaric contribution  
31 October 2012

Retrieved on May 2013 from [www.non-fides.fr](http://www.non-fides.fr)  
Contribution to a november 2012 international anarchist  
meeting in Zurich.

**[theanarchistlibrary.org](http://theanarchistlibrary.org)**

31 October 2012

not only to objectives, but also tacking into consideration contexts and available forces.

Infinite possibilities of intervention exist in a critical and destructive sense against the reality that surrounds us, and in such a sense we find it important to extend and diversify the practices of conflict attempting to make them, time after time, reproducible.

*Palermo, 31 October.*

pened in Greece over the last years, the insurrection of December, the thousands of attacks spread over its entire territory, the repeating conflictuality with the police forces as well as various symbols and structures of power, the looting of supermarkets and many other actions that have warmed our hearts and fired our souls. Fires, though, that rarely spilled over our souls to assume a concrete dimension.

Reasons can be different one from the others. Lack of contacts? A reality too far removed from our own? Internal conditions hard to decipher? Sporadic news that often is exclusively linked to sources of the regime? Of course these are reasons that probably weighed in. But first among all, the most determining one, was that were were not, and are not, prepared and therefore incapable of seizing the occasion. Managing to export from the greek borders a permanent conflictuality and targeted attacks, being able to understand the contradictions that capital is developing a bit everywhere, being able to counter-attack having at our disposal tools developed beforehand, could have made the difference.

It is also through reflecting on this missed occasion, of which we could mention many more, that we can understand how much it is necessary to have the capacity to see beyond the few things that are in our short range of view and to be ready, to be prepared. In the urgency of wanting to be there, in the excitement of participation in the possibility of spreading indignation we run the risk of losing ourselves between the provocations of capital and the trajectory of roads that don't belong to us. We don't have a world to save, neither consciences to conquest, nor verbs to spread.

Even though a creativity that also determines the unpredictable is quite fundamental, the perspectives and the objectives should not be pulled out of a magic hat, we cannot debase ourselves in an obsessive search for roles, numbers and head-counts. It is nonetheless important to explore new paths of attack, explore new means, tools and techniques in relation

## Contents

Sabotage of production. . . . .	8
---------------------------------	---

to act and which targets to take into consideration, aiming towards the initiative rather than locking ourselves up in a logic answer. What surrounds us is swarming with places through which capital proliferates. Places that were born or were transformed over the last decades. We can, briefly, make an example, with which it is easy to highlight some changes we are referring to. Let's think about the difference there is between paper archives and databases.

In the past the past, burning the documentation of a registry office, of a workplace, of a large industrial complex could be considered a concrete destructive action. Today, not. Information and the information of an archive are preserved in their databases, in minuscule electronic devices, and run along thousands of kilometres of cables and wires. Is it not perhaps necessary to take this into account? Is it not perhaps obvious that the changes of the enemy have been radical and cannot be ignored, and therefore it is necessary to get to know them better and deeper? On this occasion we do not want make a list of what could possibly be considered targets of attack, we prefer leaving these matters to the imagination of the research and the creativity of one's own definition of prospectives of revolt.

An other point that we are interested to briefly discuss is the international dimension that we believe an insurrectionalist perspective should assume or return to. Occasions such as this one allow us to meet, discuss, confront each other with other comrades from different places, and need to constitute a starting point to the deepening of future relationships. However the possibility to make these bonds on an individual basis or among realities from different places should not be the final end, but an excuse and an aspect within the internationalist dimension to which we aspire to. Having relations with comrades who live elsewhere is not enough, it is necessary that each one of us knows how to project ourselves in an optic of observation and action that goes beyond territorial boundaries. To explain ourselves better, let's take as an example what hap-

building relations. The idea of a *good use* of the virtual reality in a revolutionary perspective does not convince us, in fact we think that taking into consideration such a possibility would entail choosing paths that give no guarantee, given that they are functional to capital and the management of power. Computerization and technological development have to perhaps be potential targets of attack.

## **Sabotage of production.**

The machine of capital is fed by structures of power (bureaucracies and institutions), by mechanisms of repression and control (prisons, courthouses, military and police forces, surveillance systems), by work, by consensus, by production. Radical critique and the perspective of attack have to therefore develop on many levels, both through theory and through practice. Specifically the system of production and consumption is what binds and chains individuals to capital and all its variations. The creation of false needs determines submission, more or less conscious, to the exploitation of work, to the logics of economic colonialism. The production of energy, industrial complexes and more or less displaced factories, the spreading of merchandise are at the basis of the functioning of this world.

And it is precisely in this direction that we need to act, without waiting that this wall of commodification, which is seeping into every pore of our existences, collapses on top of us, while we are busy scratching away on the surface and not at its foundation, burring any future possibility of attack. Gaining, exchanging and spreading information, practical and theoretical, in regards to the retrieval and the use of tools and knowledge is one of the aspects that we believe is indispensable to discuss and develop.

We can ask ourselves questions about how to act and how to attack, but it is equally important to ask ourselves against what

When we try to read the reality that surrounds us we realize that we are assisting to a development of profound transformations when we look at the management of economic and political power. Such changes are also reflected on a social level. It is necessary to confront ourselves with the current transformations and to take them into consideration in relation to our analysis and prospective of attack.

Capital is not in crisis, but more 'simply' the financial choices of the states have created some difficulties in the traditional management of the market and have produced, in general, a worsening of conditions of existence in the life of consumer citizens. The contradictions that capital has developed have contributed to determine some zones and occasions of conflict, more or less brutal and of longer or shorter time span, between the guardians of power and its structures with those pockets of population that have had enough with being excluded from the comforts that the fake well-being of societies of consumption have permitted. Looking at this situation it is natural to ask ourselves what to do. Being "here and now" is in fact at the basis of our desire of violent rupture with all systems of values, with capital and its many variations. Within such reflexions and within the definition of perspectives that can guide us through uncertain and unexplored paths of revolt we believe it necessary to avoid confronting ourselves with reality through eyes silted by easy enthusiasms that risk leading us to look at insurrections from every angle, accomplices in every occupier, revolutionary subjects in all exploited. At the same time we believe it is equally dangerous to remain anchored in a kind of realist pessimism that risks paralyzing us before the passage of time, of transforming us into permanently awaiting, trapped in a determinist logic. What we believe to be fundamental is to place ourselves in an optic of lucid observation that could allow us to grasp the current transformations, identifying

the aspects which are vulnerable to our enemy, to better aim towards how and what to attack.

In a mental and material condition that is dominated by the urgency of being there (and not of being), as a definition of our own role within a diffused conflictuality, we risk to lose sight of the central point in question: the necessity of starting from ourselves, from our own anarchist ideas and perspectives. Then, during a moment of a spontaneous revolt the problem of anarchists is not that of searching for a role among other roles, of finding a way to be accepted by the others, to be agreeable or to hide our own real desires, just to tie alliances. It would be a lot more useful to choose conditions of attack that hinder a return to normality, experimenting in the acts that belong to us, finding targets that spontaneity alone is not able to find. Any insurrectional hypothesis is unpredictable and independent from us, but as anarchists, in a perspective of permanent conflictuality and of definition of insurrectional projects we can certainly give a fundamental contribution to what is going on.

The problems that we should confront ourselves with, is not so much how to relate to the possibilities of revolt in the streets, of territorial and/or specific struggles that could become radical and widespread, but more how to continue to act and attack, in both a practical and theoretical dimension, in the light of the current transformations within society and the mechanisms of domination.

Analyzing the practices and the paths of struggle in relation to the objective is the fundamental step of a discussion aimed towards individuating the limits and the perspectives of the theory and the practice of social subversion. To be able to better touch on the different questions and proposals that we intend to put forward on this occasion, we would like to bring certain argumentations to the attention of comrades.

We believe an urgent matter to confront the question of the ways of communication among comrades. The problem can be

faced distinguishing two aspects, that of the ways with which we decide to communicate and that of the value that we give to the tools that each time we choose to use. Specifically, we are referring to the use of the internet and the way we relate to it. Our own use of these tools, even within limits, is a given fact, however this is certainly not a factor from which we can consider them useful in the case of an insurrection or a fundamental tool in the definition of our prospective, or, more, something which we can dispose of as we please.

The systems of virtual communication have had an enormous developments within the society we live in over the last twenty years and permeate every day more in the reality and in the system of relations between people. We cannot ignore the such systems have slowly entered our lives, inevitably conditioning also our way of relating with others, with what surrounds us and with the mediums of communication themselves. All of this happened in spite of our awareness that virtual irreality is functional to power and it is its force.

Over the last decade the traditional methods through which our ideas circulated, such as newspapers, brochures, flyers, poster and books have been severely reduced and the spreading of ideas has been almost entirely delegated to the virtual universe. More than ever it is indispensable to return and brush up the old forms of encounter and communication between comrades and experiment with new ones, ones that are only ours and not of the enemy. Returning to meeting each other and taking the time to do so, something that is more and more difficult given the daily rhythm imposed by modern life, rhythms that more or less consciously we have made our own.

It often happens to hear someone making statements around the possibility of using computerized tools in certain situations, however finding ourselves face to face with a practically daily use of the internet, particularly through the exchange of information and ideas, has shown us how much virtual reality has been able to condition in a negative way the current way of