

The Anarchist Library  
Anti-Copyright



# The Third Option: Anarcho-Abundancism

A beacon between anarcho-capitalism and  
anarcho-communism

Amaterasu Solar

04-7-2024

Amaterasu Solar  
The Third Option: Anarcho-Abundancism  
A beacon between anarcho-capitalism and  
anarcho-communism  
04-7-2024

odysee.com

There are images but it seems they cannot be added here...

**[theanarchistlibrary.org](http://theanarchistlibrary.org)**

So My frenemy dropped a link to an article entitled, *Bridging the Divide: Anarcho-Capitalism vs. Anarcho-Communism — A Debate on Stateless Societies and Human Nature*. Needless to say, I was intrigued. He is so on Me about being a communist, and I wanted to see how things were defined (specifically in the article).

Before I get into the discussion of the content, let Me define “money” as I use it. Too many People differentiate between the various methods of accounting for Our energy added – “fiat” vs. tangible, trade/barter vs. representational tokens. To Me, “money” is all these things. If You add energy (do work) and receive something physical – eggs to electronic bits – You get “money.”

For the foundational function of all of these things is to ensure You can show that You added Your “fair share” of energy into whatever system the society is using.

Back to the article. Here is the link to it, and You can read the whole but I think I got the gist by reading the opening statements from each side:

medium.com

It is a fictional piece using historical figures to offer up the perspectives of each aim in a debate setting. Neither has all of what an anarcho-abundancist is and a fair amount that it isn't. First We have the anarcho-capitalist who is replying to the moderator:

Murray Rothbard: Thank you. Anarcho-capitalism is a political philosophy that values individual liberty, property rights, and voluntary exchange. We believe in the complete absence of a coercive state and that individuals should be free to make their own choices, including economic ones. In our system, markets will naturally regulate themselves, and the pricing system will allocate resources efficiently.

And next We have the anarcho-communist:

Mikhail Bakunin: Anarcho-communism, on the other hand, envisions a society without hierarchy, where communities are organized on the principles of direct democracy and mutual aid. We believe in the abolition of private property and that the means of production should be owned collectively. This ensures that the wealth produced by society is distributed according to need, not private interest.

In the anarcho-capitalist view, We should have ownership, basically, of what We use. Our land, vehicles, and such. Individuals are valued and freedom is primary – precisely like

an anarcho-abundancist. The only dispute is in economics. Whereas the ancaps want to account for the energy We're adding into a system, anabs see no need for that with the technologies We have – sadly hidden from Us by the psychopaths presently in control.

Those psychopaths are in control because We account for the energy We add into a system. Few dispute that money equal power. And things that provide power will draw psychopaths like feces draw flies. Thus, Their single tool to power, money, They protect avidly. And thus, free energy technologies are hidden and suppressed.

The ancoms want a democracy – two wolves and a sheep deciding what's for dinner. This is an unnecessary arrangement when We do not need money, when We **all** are living as richly as We choose. Rather, We can Ethically solve problems as they arise. "Mutual aid" becomes that problem-solving, and the Ones affected by a problem will be motivated to solve for it. And if there are no problems to solve for...then...no problem!

And clearly, in the ancom aims, They want no One to own anything.

And given I have no desire to strip Us of Our ownership of things, I cannot be a communist. As for the "means of production," when We put robots in to do work We need done but no One **wants** to do, We will see production from them and from Us who love doing the work.

Some **love** to farm, and I expect Many **will** farm, but perhaps not enough, and given They already have robots doing farming, the needed farming over and above what the Ones who adore such work are doing will be done by robot. And Many of Us **love** to create robots, and can even create robot-fixing robots if not enough of Us love to fix robots.

No need to worry about "wealth distribution," as We all will be able to access Our wealth.

In fact, right now, every One of Us, having an interest in the wealth of Our planet, are multimillionaires, but long ago

the psychopaths in control started putting Our wealth into “TRUSTS” (legal caps), with Them as the “TRUSTEES.” This has given Them the ability to use Our wealth against Us.

Neither ancap nor ancom address Our wealth stolen from Us. The anab perspective, however, ensures that We have that wealth, though not in numbers like money/energy accounting tokens are. We Each are so wealthy that We could not spend all that wealth in Our lifetimes.

Anab ensures that We are able to live as the wealthiest today, but that owning mansions and such are no longer symbols of status, and Few will think They want to care for such ostentatious abodes. Upper middle class living of today will likely be the norm, though there are Ones who will choose to live like the Amish.

Some may decide to live in caves as hermits. Some may decide yurts are Their thing... Some may choose houses that float and fly with electrogravitics – yes, We have had that technology since at least the 50’s when My dad was working on it. See *Electrogravitics: Gravity Control & Energy from the Aether* linked below.

Presently We need about 20% of Us doing needed work – and that is shrinking as robots are applied to these things. About 80% of the jobs out there overall merely move Our wealth upwards to the psychopaths on top. All jobs that are about collecting and accounting for money are jobs We would not need without a need for money.

And there are virtually zero People who **love** to do these jobs. No One dreams of growing up to be a cashier, an accountant, a debt collector, a salesOne, an advertiser, a marketer, a tax collector. Being in insurance, a casino worker, working Wall Street, being a banker... The **only** reason People do these jobs is for the money.

They don’t do the work because They love the work. They do the work because They love what the money They get can buy. And if there was no need for money, no One would be

**Love always.**

ration, learning that They had discovered a gene that manifested Individuals who were incapable of love, compassion, caring, and empathy for Others – primary psychopaths. Seeing that the wealth was so disproportionate, and that the families who “owned” it inbred, what would explain what I saw would be that They wanted to retain that psychopathic gene. Given that the wealth could feed, clothe, house ALL of Us (and give Us freedom) abundantly and many times over, and yet None set forth to care for Humanity, I had to give probability approaching 100% that They are psychopaths, as that explains perfectly what I see, and answers My quest for why the way I was told things worked did not explain what I saw.

And I asked... If I was a psychopath, with enough wealth to buy anything and anyOne I wanted to, and given that money = power (power over Others is something psychopaths seek), would I be motivated to create a false “reality” for the masses and thereby manipulate Them? I think You can figure out what answer I came up with. And would that explain what I see? Absolutely.

Now, given that money is merely the accounting token used to account for Our Human energy, it would follow that free energy would threaten fully the accounting for Our energy. If I was a psychopath, with enough money to buy sites like Wikipedia, the media, the education system, etc., would I do all I could to suppress and hide free energy?

And given I personally know that electrogravitics offers both gravity control and energy from the aether (the electromagnetic field that pervades the universe), and that it went into black projects, such efforts to hide and suppress would explain what I see completely.

So I am neither a “conspiracy theorist,” nor am I a “conspirator,” but rather... I am a conspiracy analyst. And given this analysis, knowing that conspiracies are the NORM in history and that they didn’t just stop some years back, I conclude that conspiracies abound. That explains perfectly what I see.

heartbroken that They cannot stand at a register and ask if You’re paying with cash or credit. They would be off doing what They love to do.

And this in turn will allow Them to fulfill Their potential.

We clearly don’t need to ensure We *all* are adding Our “fair share” of energy. Between robots and Ones motivated to solve problems, We will have plenty for the needed work – the food production, the infrastructure, the transportation, the goods, the services, and on and on.

People who *love* to help will be doctors and nurses, with honest science behind Them, not duping for profit and control. No plannedemics bought and paid for with money. They will be teachers – plenty of Us love to teach.

The family unit will be welcomed back as parents no longer have to spend Their precious time slaving for tokens to afford to live on Their planet. Communities of interest will emerge – family communities, religious communities, communities with common interests, communities with common goals.

The arts and the sciences will flourish as People, now free to create any Ethical thing They choose, get to creating, with the Betterment Ethic in place. Unlike the slave’s creed the industrialists indoctrinated Us with – “Work hard and You will succeed!” “Keep working hard and someday You will succeed!” Work, work, work. But in fact... Work hard and You will, in all likelihood, scrape by until You die.

The Betterment Ethic will promote good works.

So. Rather than ancap money slavery or ancom collectivism, when We get the free energy tech out in the open, We can have the best of both, and far better. No poverty. No hierarchy. Able to fulfill Our potential. Arts and sciences burgeoning. Return to the family unit. Able to work with the Ones We enjoy working with to produce awesome things. Neither ancap nor ancom will do all that.

Shall We do all We can to co-create this vastly better option?  
Shall We follow this beacon between ancap and ancom to a  
world where Humanity is truly free?

I'm doing what I can. What will You do?

---

As My regular readers know, I ask for no money as payment  
for My work for Humanity. I ask for payment in shares. Please,  
if You feel My work has value, share with ten or more People.  
This will be the only way the information is spread.

Links to info:

**Electrogravitics: Gravity Control & Energy from the  
Aether** (9 min): odysee.com

**The Detailed Blueprint** (for a Society Of Ethical  
Sovereigns) (7 min): odysee.com

**Is Money Evil?** (14 min): odysee.com

**Why Does Money Promote Psychopaths?** (article): am-  
aterasusolar.substack.com

**One Outcome in Abundance** (article): amaterasuso-  
lar.substack.com

**The Three Laws of Ethics** (article): amaterasuso-  
lar.substack.com

**Money Motivates the Most Marvelous Manifestations!**  
(article): amaterasusolar.substack.com

**The Betterment Ethic vs. the Slave's Creed** (article): am-  
aterasusolar.substack.com

**Abundance ->Cooperation; Scarcity ->Competition**  
(article): amaterasusolar.substack.com

---

**Signature:**

**Endia** – A Short Story by Amaterasu Solar  
tapyoureit.boards.net

**Quite the Opposite – A Short Story for Change** – by  
Amaterasu Solar

tapyoureit.boards.net

**The Abundance Paradigm** – A Novella by Amaterasu So-  
lar

tapyoureit.boards.net

My father taught Me never to believe anything. He told Me  
to place probabilities and adjust them as new data come along,  
asking the question, “Does that explain what I see?,” when eval-  
uating data. He was an aerospace engineer, and worked with  
T Townsend Brown (see My featured vid on Odysee or YouToi-  
let). From a very early age I was concerned that the way I was  
told things worked, in terms of government and social affairs,  
did not explain what I saw. So the first few decades I worked  
to determine WHY this was.

I wound up in banking, seeing the flow of things in the head-  
quarters of a major bank in Los Angeles. I became intimately  
familiar with the flow of money, and economics. I asked the  
question, “Why do We use money?”

When the web arrived, My research capabilities flourished,  
and I learned much that explained what I saw, but the only ex-  
planations I found for why We use money started with trade  
and barter, which are still money in a direct form, and did not  
answer the WHY. Then, I came upon the explanation that these  
were used because, with a finite amount of stuff, it was to en-  
sure that We got Our “fair share” in a scarcity environment, in  
exchange for the work We added.

From this I realized the WHY. We were accounting for Our  
energy input into things. And that We needed to do this be-  
cause the Human energy was scarce compared to what We  
needed to be produced (back then).

I also discovered that over half Our planet's wealth was  
“owned” by fewer than 100 Humans...

I was very interested in psychology, too. And studied it  
deeply, being fascinated by psychopathy, focusing on that aber-