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Buddhism holds that the universe and all creatures in it are intrinsically in a state of complete wisdom, love and compassion; acting in natural response and mutual interdependence. The personal realization of this from-the-beginning state cannot be had for and by one-“self” — because it is not fully realized unless one has given the self up; and away.

In the Buddhist view, that which obstructs the effortless manifestation of this is Ignorance, which projects into fear and needless craving. Historically, Buddhist philosophers have failed to analyze out the degree to which ignorance and suffering are caused or encouraged by social factors, considering fear-and-desire to be given facts of the human condition. Consequently the major concern of Buddhist philosophy is epistemology and “psychology” with no attention paid to historical or sociological problems. Although Mahayana Buddhism has a grand vision of universal salvation, the actual achievement of Buddhism has been the development of practical systems of meditation toward the end of liberating a few dedicated individuals from psychological hangups and cultural conditionings. Institutional Buddhism has been conspicuously ready to accept or ignore the inequalities and tyrannies of whatever political system it found itself under. This can be death to Buddhism, because it is death to any meaningful function of compassion. Wisdom without compassion feels no pain.

No one today can afford to be innocent, or indulge himself in ignorance of the nature of contemporary governments, politics and social orders. The national polities of the modern world maintain their existence by deliberately fostered craving and fear: monstrous protection rackets. The “free world” has become economically dependent on a fantastic system of stimulation of greed which cannot be fulfilled, sexual desire which cannot be satiated and hatred which has no outlet except against oneself, the persons one is supposed to love, or the revolutionary aspirations of pitiful, poverty-stricken marginal societies like Cuba or Vietnam. The conditions of the Cold War have turned all modern societies — Communist included — into vicious distorters of man’s true potential. They create populations of “preta” — hungry ghosts, with giant appetites and throats no bigger than needles. The soil, the forests and all animal life are being consumed by these cancerous collectivities; the air and water of the planet is being fouled by them.

There is nothing in human nature or the requirements of human social organization which intrinsically requires that a culture be contradictory, repressive and productive of violent and frustrated personalities. Recent findings in anthropology and psychology make this more and more evident. One can prove it for himself by taking a good look at his own nature through
meditation. Once a person has this much faith and insight, he must be led to a deep concern with
the need for radical social change through a variety of hopefully non-violent means.

The joyous and voluntary poverty of Buddhism becomes a positive force. The traditional harm-
lessness and refusal to take life in any form has nation-shaking implications. The practice of med-
itation, for which one needs only “the ground beneath one’s feet,” wipes out mountains of junk
being pumped into the mind by the mass media and supermarket universities. The belief in a
serene and generous fulfillment of natural loving desires destroys ideologies which blind, maim
and repress — and points the way to a kind of community which would amaze “moralists” and
transform armies of men who are fighters because they cannot be lovers.

Avatamsaka (Kegon) Buddhist philosophy sees the world as a vast interrelated network in
which all objects and creatures are necessary and illuminated. From one standpoint, governments,
wor, or all that we consider “evil” are uncompromisingly contained in this totalistic realm. The
hawk, the swoop and the hare are one. From the “human” standpoint we cannot live in those
terms unless all beings see with the same enlightened eye. The Bodhisattva lives by the sufferer’s
standard, and he must be effective in aiding those who suffer.

The mercy of the West has been social revolution; the mercy of the East has been individual
insight into the basic self/void. We need both. They are both contained in the traditional three
aspects of the Dharma path: wisdom (prajna), meditation (dhyana), and morality (sila). Wisdom
is intuitive knowledge of the mind of love and clarity that lies beneath one’s ego-driven anxieties
and aggressions. Meditation is going into the mind to see this for yourself — over and over again,
until it becomes the mind you live in. Morality is bringing it back out in the way you live, through
personal example and responsible action, ultimately toward the true community (sangha) of “all
beings.”

This last aspect means, for me, supporting any cultural and economic revolution that moves
clearly toward a free, international, classless world. It means using such means as civil disobe-
dience, outspoken criticism, protest, pacifism, voluntary poverty and even gentle violence if it
comes to a matter of restraining some impetuous redneck. It means affirming the widest possi-
ble spectrum of non-harmful individual behavior — defending the right of individuals to smoke
hemp, eat peyote, be polygynous, polyandrous or homosexual. Worlds of behavior and custom
long banned by the Judaeo-Capitalist-Christian-Marxist West. It means respecting intelligence
and learning, but not as greed or means to personal power. Working on one’s own responsibility,
but willing to work with a group. “Forming the new society within the shell of the old” — the
IWW slogan of fifty years ago.

The traditional cultures are in any case doomed, and rather than cling to their good aspects
hopelessly it should be remembered that whatever is or ever was in any other culture can be
reconstructed from the unconscious, through meditation. In fact, it is my own view that the
coming revolution will close the circle and link us in many ways with the most creative aspects
of our archaic past. If we are lucky we may eventually arrive at a totally integrated world culture
with matrilineal descent, free-form marriage, natural-credit communist economy, less industry,
far less population and lots more national parks.
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This little text is one of the first expressions of what later became known as “socially engaged Buddhism.” It meant a lot to me when I first read it in 1962, and it still seems pretty lucid 40 years later (within its carefully modest limits, which obviously leave room for considerable divergences of views regarding tactics and strategies). It is precisely because Snyder was so important for me at the time that one of my first “situationist” actions (1970) was a disruption of one of his poetry readings — my personal declaration of independence from heroes and leaders of any kind, even the most admirable. I went my own way from then on, but I still acknowledge Gary Snyder as one of the people who have contributed most richly to my awareness of life’s possibilities.