## The Anarchist Library Anti-Copyright



## Gustavo Rodríguez Who do the passionate communards work for? An apology for permanent insurrection September 1, 2020

Retrieved on 17<sup>th</sup> May 2021 from www.anarchistnews.org Published in *Planeta Tierra*, September 1, 2020. (Excerpted from the brochure "El aroma del fuego: la rabia de la desesperanza en un mundo tripolar")

theanarchistlibrary.org

## Who do the passionate communards work for?

An apology for permanent insurrection

Gustavo Rodríguez

September 1, 2020

I will become a worker: such is the idea that stops me, when the mad rages push me towards the battle of Paris – where, however, so many workers continue to die while I write to you! Work now, never again.<sup>1</sup>

Rimbaud

Since 1871 – the year in which the "accursed poet" wrote this letter -, it was not necessary to be a "diviner" to see the obvious: the masses of workers who fought on the barricades in Paris continued to work. That "wildcat strike" in front of the Versailles authority was, in turn, a new job that produced new obligations and condemned them to perpetuate work in *saecula saeculorum*. Such a profound reflection, in the midst of a necromancing trance, probably prompted Rimbaud to ask himself the question: who were the passionate communards working for?, thereby prophesising a system of domination based

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}$  Rimbaud, Arthur, Illuminations, Letters from the seer, Ediciones Hiperión, Madrid, 1995.

on direct democracy as the axis of political-social management, which ensured the permanence of the authority and the continuity of work.

That is why his furious rejection (never again!) of the process of human alienation, aware that total liberation "consists in reaching the unknown"; the only escape route from the cultural market to which he was forced to sell his "merchandise." Perhaps for this reason, for Bakunin – with his subversive spirit and lustful irreverence – those seventy-odd days of generalised insurrection were an endless party and not an exhausting shift of social construction; as well as for the courageous *pétroleuses* who enjoyed the fleeting moments of the spring of 1871 as an orgasmic apotheosis of fire and sedition. While for Engels, that event expressed the "most vivid example of the dictatorship of the proletariat", envisioning the future utility of the working mass.

The historical slogan of the Marxians ("abolition of wage labor!"), still reverberates these days reiterated by their own and by others – with regrettable acceptance in our camp –, as if the miserable economic retribution of the exploitation of our physical and intellectual force was the problem, and not work itself, forgetting the origin of the word. Although an etymology is not the Truth (with a capital letter) but an allegory that at the time allowed us to describe a specific reality constituting the vision of the world in our mind, it is truly revealing what the word "work" represented in different historical periods history.

The words "trabajo" (in Spanish), "travail" (French), "trabalho" (Portuguese), "traballo" (Galician), "Trabayu" (Asturian) and, "treball" (in Catalan and Valencian), derive from the vulgar Latin *tripalium*: an instrument of torture similar to stocks that consisted of "three posts" "to which the person who re-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Id.

and the current stagnation, could take us back in history: to the imposition of global fascism (brown and/or red).

We must sharpen our senses to know how to distinguish the smells and keep a watch on what is cooking. The aroma of the fire will always tell us what is cooking. It is not a question of rejecting the dish once it is served but of interrupting its cooking. The sulphurous aroma of the combustion of oil and its derivatives, causes an unmistakable olfactory sensation that incites a certain transitory state of euphoria and unconsciously sends us a succession of associated images that produce infinite pleasure: a burning precinct, a prison reduced to ashes, a conglomerate of charred antennas, a torched patrol car or a beautiful charred shopping centre. This becoming-fire —which lights up the night—causes a liberating commotion that no other means, no war machine, can bring about. A gesture is innovated that makes anarchy perceptible through the flames of devastation.

ceived the torment was tied. Hence the meaning of tripaliare: "torture", "torment" or "provoked pain".<sup>3</sup>

If etymologically the expression "forced labor" is a kind of pleonasm; under the phrase "wage labor", the nonsense of the term is exposed, unless it is a question of enthusiastic masochists who consequently refuse to charge for being tortured. Not to mention those peculiar beings so well tamed who love work, far exceeding the narrative of Von Sacher-Masoch, with the forgiveness from all lovers of inflicting pain on themselves (at will) with pleasant results, reconciling the tension between pleasure and death in a profound alteration of time itself.<sup>4</sup>

It's not by chance that Debord's psychogeographic incursions –four years before founding the Situationist International–, concluded with a graffito near the Seine

In Europe, there is evidence of the punitive use of the *tripallium* at least until the year 578, while in America the use of this instrument of torture is documented in the decade of the eighties of the nineteenth century and in Mauritania it is still used to "discipline" slaves and (particularly) female slaves who refuse to comply with the demands of their masters despite the fact that slavery was abolished by law in 1981."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The word "work" has three European roots that have allowed the semantic accommodation of the term in different languages: *Ergon* in Greek, *Laborare* in Latin and the forgotten *Tripalium* (also in Latin, but with a much darker origin). In English, the word "work" is associated with the Latin root of the word *Laborare* which means "labour", although its literal translation would be "difficult labour", hence the expression "labour" (as in childbirth). This Latin root is the origin of a variety of words, including "collaborate" and "elaborate." Hannah Arendt makes use of this etymological root to justify work, arguing that it has a role in the "process of vital fertility" (*La Condition Humana*, Paidós, Barcelona, ??1993). In fact, it is evident that there is an abysmal difference between the words Tripalium and *Laborare* (or *Ergon* in Greek) and, it is rooted in the ancestral social (and sexual) division of labour with the arrival of agriculture: a sector "destined" to fulfil the painful obligation of work (*Tripalium*), losing all freedom; and another, "chosen" for creative work (*Laborare*) in full freedom.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Freeman, Elizabeth, *Time Binds: Queer Temporalities, Queer Histories*, Duke University Press, Durham, 2010.

with the inscription "NE TRAVAILLEZ JAMAIS!" (Don't ever work!), taking up Rimbaud's battle cry, recharged by the piercing sharp intuition of the Dadaist negation "contre tout et tous" (against everything and everyone) and the "war against work" of the surrealist movement. Nor is it the result of mere coincidence that at the end of the seventies, comrade Alfredo Bonanno and the most courageous anarchic sectors of Italy, focused their struggle on the destruction of work, after the experience of the explosive May of 1977, giving free rein to the insurrectionary theses in the face of anarcho-syndicalist immobility and the degeneration of synthesis libertarianism.

By contrast, Marxists of all denominations - Social Democrats, Spartacists/Luxembourgists, Councilists, Leninists (Trotskyists, Stalinists, Maoists and other sub-species), workerists, autonomists, libertarian socialists, and anarchosyndicalists – postpone the destruction of work and the consequent destruction of the economy, placing the programme of consolidation of workers' power (communist/anarchist) before the moment of emancipation, stimulating the development of the productive forces and limiting themselves to managing or "self-managing" - in the case of libertarian syndicalists and councilists - the economy, ensuring the development of Capital. From this (short-sighted) vision, not even the situationists would be exempt. Debord himself would take back the valiant graffito, opting to promote industrial automation (first) and the "society of Councils" (later). Vaneigem would not shed his Marxian DNA either, leaning towards the "Workers Councils" (first) and generalized self-management (later).

This myopic focus undoubtedly obstructs the anarchic aim of demolishing all that exists. Such a conception, instead of putting an end to the so-called "fundamental contradiction" (capital-labour), destroying labour and the economy and, as a result, Capital, poses a false dilemma between the management of the economy by the "bourgeoisie" and the management/self-management of the "proletariat". In this way, it chooses the

form over the content, giving way to a "self-managed capitalism" (as happened in the anarcho-syndicalist revolution after the fascist coup of 1936, in Spain) or the imposition of "State capitalism" (Russia 1917, China 1949, Cuba 1959, Nicaragua 1979...).

"Jeder nach seinen Fähigkeiten, jedem nach seinen Bedürfnissen" (From each according to his abilities, to each according to his needs) is the aphorism that Saint Charlie of Trier makes his own – after plagiarising Étienne Cabet and Louis Blanc – announcing the arrival of the "higher phase" of communism, once the guiding principle of the "dictatorship of the proletariat" is surpassed ("To each according to his contribution" or, in other words, "he who does not work does not eat"), an indefinite period of time where, far from being abolished, the working-class condition is generalised, exacerbating the exploitation of workers in the efficient production of a "better future". What in practice translates into more of the same, that is, in the continuation of Capital through supposedly revolutionary means implemented around the division between leaders and those who obey.

What do contemporary revolts produce? Who do the passionate communards of our day work for? These are probably the initial generating questions that help us to formulate new questions and to list doubts, fears, reflections and proposals, untangling the black threads of our historicity. In this way and only in this way, will we be able to weave the new plot and the warp of the coming struggles. That black fabric will take on the polymorphic body that we will grant it without following old patterns. We will no longer have to continue mending that archaic cloth that was made a century and a half ago on the spinning wheel and loom. That fabric had its own time. The new anarchic plots can only come about in a disruptive way, from an ethos that reaffirms the necessary destruction of work and the power of liberating fire. To continue in the repetition

4